

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEFARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/965,538	09/27/2001		Takayo Katsuki	36856.550	8080
759	90	12/13/2002			
Keating & Ber	nett LLP		EXAMINER		
Suite 312 10400 Eaton Pla	ace		EASTHOM, KARL D		
Fairfax, VA 22	2030			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2832		
			DATE MAILED: 12/13/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/965,538 Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit

Katsuki et al.



	•	Karl Easthom	2832	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the corres	pondence addres	<b>k</b> /
A SHO THE N - Extensi mailing - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any rej	OR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In date of this communication.  eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause to by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH  n no event, however, may a reply be timely filed the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailin the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.5)	A(S) FROM  after SIX (6) MONTHS a considered timely. ng date of this commur b.C. § 133).	6 from the
Status				
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Oct 25, 3	2002		<del></del>
2a) 💢	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) $\square$ This ac	ction is non-final.		
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex particle.	except for formal matters, prose arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453	cution as to the O.G. 213.	e merits is
Disposit	tion of Claims			
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u>	is/are	e pending in the	application.
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/ar	e withdrawn fro	om consideration.
5) 🗌	Claim(s)	A SALES AND A	is/are allowed.	
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u>		is/are rejected.	
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			
8) 🗆	Claims			
	ition Papers			
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)💢	The drawing(s) filed on Oct 25, 2002 is/ar	re a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ object	ed to by the Exa	aminer.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a	a).
11)💢	The proposed drawing correction filed on Oct 2	$25, 2002$ is: a) $\mathbf{X}$ approved	b) disapprov	red by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	y to this Office action.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exar	miner.		
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		) (d) or (f)	
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. 3 119(a	)-(u) Or (1).	
a) i)	All b)    Some* c)    None of:	base resolved		
	1. X Certified copies of the priority documents ha		No	
	<ul><li>2.  Certified copies of the priority documents hat</li><li>3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority</li></ul>			· Stage
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bui see the attached detailed Office action for a list of the second control of the priority.	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in this National C	, tugo
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(e).	
a)[	The translation of the foreign language provision			
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 12	20 and/or 121.	
Attachn		4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Pape	r No(s)	
_	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application		
	office of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing neview (PTO-346)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)6	6) Other:	•	
~ A "	name and a second	_		

Application/Control Number: 09/965538

Art Unit: 2832

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-4 and 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Katsuki et al. '779. Katsuki et al. discloses the claimed invention at Fig. 7, or 3 where 30a 121a, or the part of 111a are vertical leg portion since 30a has a vertical part where the button part meets extends down to meet the horizontal part 30, and 121a and 111 have vertically extending parts from the electrodes 111a or 101. The portion of upper terminal 5,114 or 124 is extended downward either the parts on the outside of the case, or the part 54 touching the thermistor in Fig. 7.. (Either of these is a vertical leg portion meeting claim 9, with the bent part 51 and the part 54 meets claim 9). Also the portion of 4 outside or inside the case at Fig. 7 extends downward as seen. The horizontal connection portion of claim 7 is 41 since it is bent and connects to a substrate..
- 3. Claims 1-4 and 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ikeda et al Ikeda discloses the claimed invention at Fig. 7F where 172 is a vertical leg portion of the lower terminal is downwardly extending. The lower end portion is the right-hand end portion having vector components extending in the radial and vertical directions. The vertical leg portion of upper terminal 17 is shown bent downward, meeting claims 9-10. (The vertical leg portion and

Application/Control Number: 09/965538

Art Unit: 2832

downwardly extending portions are interpreted as the same element, similar to applicant's Fig. 3). In claim 6, stainless steel is disclosed at col. 10, lines 1-5.

- 4. Claims 1-4, 7-8, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Honkomp et al. Honkomp discloses the claimed invention at Fig. 2 with the solder described at col. 4, lines 55-60 the electrodes, and terminals 17 (junction and short vertical-leg portion), including terminal part 12 connected thereto (lower-end portion extending in a radial direction) the claimed vertical leg portion. The whole device of Fig. 2 can be tilted such as when being shipped, so that one side of 18 is the upper, and the other side of thermistor 18 is the lower, or the terminals 16 can be mounted horizontal to that as the device is depicted.
- 5. Claims 1-4 and 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Takakura et al. (JP 5-299206). Takakura discloses the claimed invention at Fig. 1 where the vertical leg portion is shown as the bent portion of lower terminal 15 that touches 13c. 14 is the upper terminal. In claim 2, "in the vicinity" means close to the center. The leg portion is "substantially" perpendicular where the term is one of degree and the leg appears to be less than about 45 degrees one-half between perpendicular and parallel.

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/965538

Art Unit: 2832

- 7. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takakura et al., Katsuki et al. '779, or Ikeda et al., in view of Nagao et al. The claimed invention is as disclosed above except for the electrode material. Nagao discloses such a material at the abstract as conventional and for improving flash resistance, see col. 6 and table 5. It would have been obvious to employ the well known material in the manner of Nagao to improve the flash resistance.
- 8. Applicant's arguments filed 10/25/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Takakura does not have the vertical-leg portion that is substantially perpendicular. This is not correct because the term is one of degree and the leg appears to be less than about 45 degrees one-half between perpendicular and parallel. Similar remarks apply to Katsuki since the part 421 is bent "substantially" perpendicular where it is bent more than 45 degrees at Figs. 7 or 8, similar to the part 121a at Fig. 3.Applicant argues Honkomp does not disclose the radial portion, this is addressed above, where the part 12 is that portion. As to the lower-end portion of Ikeda, see the remarks above, where the right-hand side of part 172 meets the element.
- 9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/965538

Art Unit: 2832

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl Easthom whose telephone number is (703)308-3306. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin Enad, can be reached on (703)308-7619. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)308-7722. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

KARL D. EASTHOM PRIMARY EXAMINER